

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/849,144	05/04/2001	Ornan Alexander Gerstel	11685US03	9703	
23446	7590 04/04/2005		EXAM	INER	
	WS HELD & MALLO	WILSON, R	WILSON, ROBERT W		
500 WEST MADISON STREET SUITE 3400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60661		2661	2661	

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(X				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/849,144	GERSTEL ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Robert W Wilson	2661				
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing If the period for reply specified above is If NO period for reply is specified above Failure to reply within the set or extend	S COMMUNICATION. Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 Index of this communication. Index the maximum statutory period we deduced the maximum statutory period we deduced for reply will, by statute, the mailing the mailing the status of the mailing the status of the status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30)	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to commun	nication(s) filed on 30 No	ovember 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance w	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-29</u> is/are p	Claim(s) <u>12-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are a	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-29</u> is/are re	Claim(s) <u>19-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-18</u> is/are o	Claim(s) <u>12-18</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are sub	ject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is obje	cted to by the Examiner	;					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.				
Applicant may not reques	t that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration	is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is mad a) All b) Some * c) [_	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of	of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of	of the priority documents	have been received in Applic	cation No				
Copies of the cer	tified copies of the prior	ity documents have been rece	eived in this National Stage				
	the International Bureau	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
* See the attached detailed	d Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not rece	ived.				
(•					
	Jewin						
Attachment(s)	PHIRIN SAM						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-8 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's 		4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai					
Information Disclosure Statement(s Paper No(s)/Mail Date			al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2661

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1.0 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2.0 Claims 19-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Gupta (U.S. Patent No.: 5,787,070)

Referring to Claim 19, Gupta teaches: a communication system (Figs 2 & 3).

The Service Modules (Line cards) communicate through a port connected to the redundancy bus (link port) as shown in Fig 2. The redundancy bus provides internal data communication between 39 per Fig 2 (I/O) and the redundancy bus per Fig 2 (Link port)

The Redundancy Module (Spare line card) is connected to the Service Modules (group of line cards). The Redundancy Module (Spare line card) has a port which is connected over a redundancy bus which interconnects the Local ports of the Redundancy Module and Service Modules per Fig 2

The Redundancy Module (Spare Line card) has 106 and 110 per Fig 3 which act as a controller for to the Relays 90 & 92 per Fig 3. The controller switches out the failed Service Module per Fig 2 thus rerouting the data received on the redundancy bus (link port) through the 39 per Fig 2 (I/O) port associated with the failed Service Module (failed link port line card.

Referring to Claim 20, Gupta teaches: An Interface Shelf (28 per Fig 2) (Line card).

The input to the Line Module (39 per Fig 2) (I/O port) supports I/O data.

The Output of the Service Module and Redundancy Module is connected to 76 per Fig 2 (Link Port) support passage of Link port data.

The input to the Redundancy Module is via the bus per Fig 2 (Local port) which passes both data from 76 per Fig 2 (link port) and data from 39 per Fig 2 or I/O.

In Addition Gupta teaches:

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding Claim 21, The output of the Service Module & Redundancy Module per Fig 2 is a link port which is connected to a bus (medium). The Service Module & Redundancy Module performs as a multiplexer/demultiplexer functions per col. 4 lines 27-col. 6 line 6.

Regarding Claim 24, The Redundancy Module (65 per Fig 3) sends control signals for switching consequently the Redundancy Module has an inherent controller. 80 per Fig 3 sends a control signal to the input 98 per Fig 3.

Regarding Claim 25, 39 per Fig 2 (I/O) is routed to 76 per Fig 2 (Link) when a Service Module has not failed per col. 4 line 26-col. 6 line 6.

Regarding Claim 26, 76 per Fig 2 (Link port) is routed to 39 per Fig 2 (I/O) when there is no indication that a Service Module has failed per col. 4 line 26-col. 6 line 6.

Regarding Claim 27, 39 per Fig 2 (I/O) is routed to input to redundancy Module and Service Module via bus per Fig 2 upon indication of a failure per col. 4 line 26-col. 6 line 6.

Regarding Claim 28, The output of the Redundancy Module (76 per Fig 2) (Link port) is routed to the input of the Redundancy Module (Local port) in the event of a failure per col. 4 line 26-col. 6 line 6.

Referring to Claim 29, Gupta teaches:

The input to the Line Module (39 per Fig 2) (I/O port) supports I/O data.

The Output of the Service Module and Redundancy Module is connected to 76 per Fig 2 (Link Port) support passage of Link port data.

The input to the Service Module is via the bus per Fig 2 (Local port) allows 39 per Fig 2 I.O port data to be routed to an input port on a Service Module via the bus (Local port first connection)

The Interface Shelf 28 per Fig 2 (line card) includes a input port to the Redundancy Module (Local port 2 connection)

Claim Objections

2.0 Claims 12-29 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Referring to Claims 12, 14, 15, 18, 19, 20, 25, 26, 27, & 29; the examiner objects to the usage of the slash between I and O because it is not clear whether I and O are referred to or I or O. The examiner believes that the applicant means I and O or Input and Output.

Art Unit: 2661

Referring to Claims 21 & 22, the examiner objects to the usage of the slash between the multiplexer and demultiplexer because it is not clear whether the applicant is referring to a multiplexer and demultiplexer or multiplexer or demultiplexer. The examiner believes that the applicant means multiplexer or demultiplexer.

Referring to Claims 23, the examiner objects to the limitation of a 1xM and 1xN switch because the applicant could not find any place in the specification where a 1xM and 1x N switch is defined. The specification defines a 1x(K+1) switch on Pg 7. The examiner also objects to the usage of variables which are not defined in the specification. The variables defined in the specification are k which is equal to the number of local ports and N which is the # of line cards per Pgs 5 & 7 of the specification. Appropriate correction is required.

The present invention is directed to a line card which contains a I/O port, a local ports, and a link port. The line card can reroute I/O data when a portion of the line card fails without completed bypassing the line card.

The closest prior art is Gupta (U.S. Patent No.: 5,787,070). Gupta discloses a Service Modules (Group of line cards) which received I/O data from a Line Module. The local port is the input via bus to the Redundancy Module and Service Module. The Link port is the output of the Redundancy Module and Service Module.

Pending resolution of the claim objects the closest prior art, Gupta (U.S. Patent No.: 5,787,070), does not disclose, anticipate, or render obvious the following claim limitations:

"upon detection of a failed line card in the group of line cards, rerouting an I/O port of the failed line card through a local port of the failed line card, without completely bypassing said line card" as claimed in Claims 12 & 15.

"providing a group of a plurality of line cards, each of said line cards communicating data through an I/O port and communicating data through a link port wherein each of said line cards is capable of internally communicating data from said I/O port to said link port;

providing at least one spare line card having a spare line card I/O port and a spare line card link port" as claimed in Claim 18.

Response to Amendment

3.0 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Please refer to the above rejection for details.

Art Unit: 2661

4.0 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

5.0 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert W Wilson whose telephone number is 571/272-3075. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on 571/272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert W Wilson

Examiner

Art Unit 2661

RWW 3/15/05

PHIRIN SAM